

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

Instructions: *Please ensure your answers are written legibly.*

Renewal Projects: *Complete the "Actual Performance" Column using the data for the project's most recently ended grant term using the source and calculation (if applicable) outlined in the Project Performance Scorecard Instructions.*

Ranking and Review Committee Members: *1) Verify the information in the "Actual Performance" Column, completed by the renewal applicant, is correct using the data for the project's most recently ended grant term using the source and calculation (if applicable) outlined in the Project Performance Scorecard Instructions. 2) Complete the "Points Awarded" Column based on the Performance Point Scale.*

Project Name:

Project Grant Number (FY15):

Grantee Name:

Subrecipient Name (if Applicable):

Type of Project (PH-PSH or PH-RRH):

Most Recently Ended Grant Term:

Is the most recently ended grant term a FY13 or FY14 grant term?

Total Award Amount for Most Recently Ended Grant Term:

Total Project Expenses for Most Recently Ended Grant Term (Award + Match):

Project's Unit/Bed Information

Total Number of Funded UNITS in Most Recently Ended Grant Term:

____ 0-Bedroom # ____ 1-Bedroom # ____ 2-Bedroom # ____ 3-Bedroom # ____ 4-Bedroom

Units Dedicated Chronic

Units Prioritized Chronic

Is Project 100% dedicated Chronic?

Yes or No (circle)

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Total Number of Funded BEDS in Most Recently Ended Grant Term: | | | | |
| # Beds Dedicated Chronic | | | # Beds Prioritized Chronic | |

Project's Household/Person's Served Information

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Total Number of Households Served | | | | |
| HHs w/ Adults Only | | HH w/ Children | | HH w/ Children Only |
| Total Number of Participants served in most recently ended grant term | | | | |
| Adults | | Children | | |
| Number of Chronically Homeless Persons Served in most recently ended grant term | | | | |

Project Performance

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|---|---------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Housing Stability: % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or un subsidized). | APR - Q36 | 90% + = 10 pts. 80% -89% = 8 pts. 75% - 79% = 5 pts. <75% = 0 pts. | | |
| Income Total: % of persons age 18 and older who <u><i>maintained or increased</i></u> their <i>total income</i> (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit. | APR - Q36 | 90% + = 7 pts 80% -89% = 6 pts 70% - 79% = 5 pts 60% - 69% = 4 pts 50% - 59% = 3 pts 30% - 49% = 2 pts 20% - 29% = 1 pt <20% = 0 pts | | |
| Income - Earned: % of persons age 18 through 61 who <u><i>maintained or increased</i></u> their <i>earned income</i> as of the end of the operating year or project exit. | APR - Q36 | 50% + = 5 pts 33% - 49% = 4 ts 20% - 32% = 3 pts 15% - 19% = 2 pts 10% - 14% = 1 pt < 10% = 0 points | | |
| Entered From: % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters. | APR - Q20a1 | 80% + = 5 pts 70% -79% = 3 pts 50% - 69% = 2 pts < 50% = 0 pts | | |

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|---|---|---|--------------------|----------------|
| Exits to Homelessness: Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless location. | APR - Q29a1, a2 | 5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 3 points 11% - 24% = 2 points > 25% = 0 points | | |
| Non Cash Benefits -% of participants with non-cash benefits | APR - Q26a2, 26b2 | 85% + = 3 pts 50% – 84% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts | | |
| Utilization Rate on the night of the 2016 PIT Count | 2016 Housing Inventory Chart as submitted to and accepted by HUD (see attachment) | 90%+ = 5 pts 80%-89% = 4 pts 70%-79% = 3 pts 60%-69% = 2 pts 50%-59% = 1 pt <50% = 0 pts | | |
| To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier? | Score from Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire | Maximum of 16 pts. | | |

Project Populations

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|
| % of Chronically Homeless Persons Served | HMIS Report 0323 - Tab D / APR Q7 | 76% to 100%= 3 pts 51% - 75% = 2 pts 26% - 50% = 1 pt 25% = 0.5 pts <25% = 0 pts | | |
| Participants are “hard to serve” as defined by no income at entry. | APR Q23 | 50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts | | |
| Participants are “hard to serve” as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions. | APR Q22a2, 22b2 | 50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts | | |

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

HMIS Data Quality

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|---|---|--|--------------------|----------------|
| Project's Data Completeness Score - Overall | UNITY Report #0252 Data Completeness Report Card (EE) - v15 | A = 3 pts B = 2 pts C = 1 pts F = 0 pts | | |
| Project's Data Completeness Score - UDE | UNITY Report #0252 Data Completeness Report Card (EE) - v15 | A = 2 pts B = 1.5 pts C = 1 pts F = 0 pts | | |
| Project's Data Completeness Score - Additional | UNITY Report #0252 Data Completeness Report Card (EE) - v15 | A = 2 pts B = 1.5 pts C = 1 pts F = 0 pts | | |
| Project's Data Completeness Score - HUD Verification | UNITY Report #0252 Data Completeness Report Card (EE) - v15 | A = 2 pts B = 1.5 pts C = 1 pts F = 0 pts | | |

Financial and Monitoring

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|---|------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|
| In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD? | eLOCCS Report | 90% + =5 pts 85-89%=4 pts 80-84%=3 pts 75-79%=2 pts 70-74%=1 pt <70%=0 pts | | |
| Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? | eLOCCS Report | Yes = 1 pts No = 0 pts | | |
| Did the project have the match required per CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)? | See Instructions | 1:1 = 3 pts 0.5:1 = 2 pts 0.25:1 = 1 pt | | |

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Percent of Funds used for Housing vs. Supportive Services | See Instructions | 80-100% = 5 pts 70-79% = 3 pts 60-69% = 1 pt below 60%=0 pts | | |
| HUD Monitoring - Was the project's FY13 or FY14 grant year monitored by HUD | Answer Yes or No | N/A | | |
| HUD Monitoring - If the project's FY13 or FY14 grant year monitored by HUD, please indicate the number of findings (and include the monitoring report as an attachment) | HUD Monitoring Report and Corrective Action Plan (CAP) | No finding = 2.5 points CAP Submitted = 1 points No plan = 0 points No monitoring = 2.5 points | | |
| Fiscal Audit | Attached Agency Audit Report and Auditor Management Letter | No deficiencies=2.5 points Technical/Corrected= 1.5 points 1 finding=.5 points 2+ findings = 0 points | | |

**FY 2016 CoC Program Renewal Project
Performance Score Card**

CoC Participation

| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Applicant is a Member of the Continuum of Care - Currently, membership in the CoC is based on the organization having representation attending at least 80% of the monthly CoC meetings in the past 12 months | CoC Monthly Meeting Attendance Sheet | 90%+ = 2 pts 80% – 89% = 1 pts <80% = 0 pts | | |
| Measurement | Source | Performance Point Scale | Actual Performance | Points Awarded |
| Applicant agency is an active member of the CoC - Applicant exceeds minimum CoC membership requirements by participating on a CoC committee, chairing a CoC committee | CoC Committee Attendance Sheet | Applicant’s ED/CEO Chairs a CoC Committee = 2 pts Applicant Staff Member Chairs a Committee = 1 pt Applicant Staff member attends a committee = 0.5 pts No participation by Applicant agency on a committee = 0 pts | | |
| TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RANKING AND REVIEW COMMITTEE: TOTAL POINTS | | | | |