

**FL-501 Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC FY2017 HUD-CoC Program Competition - FINAL**

**FY2017 Project Priority List (and Tier Status)**

<b>1</b>	<b>Core Overall CoC System Components</b> - HMIS and Coordinated Entry Projects
<b>2</b>	<b>Renewals Not Started</b> - Renewal projects that were not operational during the timeframe being scored, and therefore could not be scored, will be the last fully funded projects in Tier 1. They will be ranked in the same order as they were in the FY16 application.
<b>3</b>	<b>Scored Renewals</b> - All other renewal Projects will be ranked by their Performance Scorecard score, with the highest scoring project being placed on the Project Priority Listing as number 3, and so forth until the reaching the dollar amount that coordinates with allowing the projects listed as #2 above to be fully funded in Tier 1. Following the renewal projects that were not operational during the performance timeframe (#2), the remaining renewal projects will continued to be ranked according to score. In the event of an overall tie score, PSH shall be placed before RRH. If the projects are the same type, then the project performance score will be used a the tie breaker.
<b>4</b>	<b>New Projects</b> - New projects, including expansion projects, created through the permanent housing bonus money will be placed at the bottom of the ranking, with any project selected through the current year's RFP process above a new/expended project selected solely based on strategic alignment of resources. In the event that a new or expanded project is created through reallocated funding, then the project will be placed either the ranking where the reallocated project would have ranked (as not to lose funding) or at the bottom of Tier 2.

	<i>Project Performance Outcomes</i>	<i>Coordinated Entry Participation</i>	<i>Project Populations</i>	<i>HMIS Data Quality</i>	<i>Overall Grant Management</i>	<i>CoC Participation</i>	<i>Total Performance ScoreCard Score</i>	<i>Amount Requested</i>	<i>Cumulative Total</i>	<i>Tier</i>
THHI - UNITY Information Network	<i>HMIS Project - N/A</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$238,843.00	\$238,843.00	1
THHI - Tampa-Hillsborough Coordinated Entry	<i>Coordinated Entry Project - N/A</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$78,160.00	\$317,003.00	1
ACTS - Sandra Prince Samaritan Home	56	5	3.5	20	8	3	<b>95.5</b>	\$185,825.00	\$502,828.00	1
THHI/Gracepoint - HOME3	59	4	3.5	15.5	10	3	<b>95</b>	\$769,680.00	\$1,272,508.00	1
ACTS - HEART	53	5	6.5	15.5	9	3	<b>92</b>	\$1,803,678.00	\$3,076,186.00	1
CC - Pathways to Housing	53	4	0	19	13	3	<b>92</b>	\$956,181.00	\$4,032,367.00	1
ACTS - Permanent Housing Program	53	3	3.5	18.5	9	3	<b>90</b>	\$120,690.00	\$4,153,057.00	1
VOA - Permanent Supportive Housing	54	3	5	15.5	8	2	<b>87.5</b>	\$330,467.00	\$4,483,524.00	1
THA - TRA Collaborative 2004	48	4	6	16.5	10	3	<b>87.5</b>	\$165,305.00	\$4,648,829.00	1
THHI/ACTS - More HEART	<i>Renewal - Not Scored - Began Late 2016</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$431,919.00	\$5,080,748.00	1
THHI/Gracepoint - PHAME	<i>Renewal - Not Scored - Began Late 2016</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$433,533.00	\$5,514,281.00	1
THHI/CC - Hillsborough Pathways to Housing	<i>Renewal - Not Scored - Began Late 2016</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$76,641.00	\$5,590,922.00	1
THA - TRA Collaborative	52	3	3	12.5	11	3	<b>84.5</b>	\$100,820.00	\$5,691,742.00	SPLIT - 1
THA - TRA Collaborative	52	3	3	12.5	11	3	<b>84.5</b>	\$205,840.00	\$5,897,582.00	SPLIT - 2
Project Return - Permanent Supportive Housing	44	5	2.5	20.5	8	2	<b>82</b>	\$157,463.00	\$6,055,045.00	2
Bonus - RRH - CC - Hillsborough Pathways II	<i>Expansion Project - RRH project received through FY17 RFP process</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$350,000.00	\$6,405,045.00	2
Bonus- PSH - ACTS - More HEART II	<i>Expansion Project - PSH - Selected based on FY16 RFP and Start Up Performance</i>						<i>N/A</i>	\$244,863.00	\$6,649,908.00	2

**Tiering Color Grid:**

**GREEN** = Projects in Tier 1 and are more likely to be funded by HUD.

**YELLOW** = Project straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2 showing that of the total grant amount (\$306,660), \$205,840 would be in Tier 2 (At risk of not receiving funding).

**RED** = Projects in Tier 2 are at risk of not receiving funding.

*Planning Grant of \$297,432 not to be included in Project Priority Listing*

**Breakdown of Funding by Tier:**

**Tier 1 Amount (94% of ARD): \$5,691,742**

**Tier 2 Amount (a + b): \$958,166**

a. Remaining Balance between Tier 1 and ARD: \$363,303

b. Permanent Housing Bonus (6% of CoC's FPRN): \$594,863

## 2017 HUD - CoC - Renewal Project Scoring Detail Summary

Below are the Performance Measurements used to score the renewal projects on the FY17 Project Performance ScoreCard, the maximum number of points available, and the score received by each project for each measurement. The Project Performance ScoreCard Instructions (attached) provides the details, calculation formulas, and point scales for each measurement. The ScoreCard was initially completed and submitted by each agency for their project; and then reviewed (and corrected if necessary) by 3 members of the CoC Ranking and Review Committee to finalize the scores.

The performance measurements were selected to be objective and align with HUD's guidance to consider outcomes, coordinated entry participation, HMIS data quality, overall grant management, and CoC participation. The project performance outcomes (A) are also directly related to the CoC-Wide System Performance Measurements that THHI is required to submit to HUD from HMIS data, and is a scoring criteria for the overall CoC Program Competition. To align with the System Performance Measurements submitted to HUD, the same time frame of 10/1/2015-9/30/16 was used. *Please note: the Ranking and Review CoC Committee decided to 'throw out' the match question due to the various and inconsistent formats used by the different agencies.*

	Maximum Pts	Project Return	THA-TRA Coll	VOA	ACTS-PHP	ACTS - SP	GP - HOME3	THA - TRA 2004	CC-Pathways	ACTS - HEART
<b>A. Project Performance (Outcomes)</b>										
<b>Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year or exited to a positive housing destination.	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10
<b>Income Total:</b> % of persons age 18 and older who <i>maintained or increased</i> their <i>total income</i> (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	7	4	4	3	7	6	4	1	1	1
<b>Income - Earned:</b> % of persons age 18 through 61 who <i>maintained or increased</i> their <i>earned income</i> as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	5	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	1
<b>Entered From:</b> % of Participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, Safe Haven or Emergency Shelters.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
<b>Exits to Homelessness:</b> Less than 5% of program exits will be to another homeless destination	5	2	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	3
<b>Non cash Benefits - Annual</b>	3	1	0	1	1	1	3	1	1	3
<b>Non-cash Benefits - Exit</b>	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
<b>Utilization Rate:</b> On the night of the 2017 PIT Count % of utilization	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
<b>Housing First/Low Barrier:</b> To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	24	20	22	24	23	23	24	22	24	24
	67	44	52	54	53	56	59	48	53	53

<b>B. Coordinated Entry</b>										
<b>% of Entries with VI-SPDAT Scores</b>	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
<b>Average VI-SPDAT Score</b>	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	2
	6	5	3	3	3	5	4	4	4	5

<b>C. Project Populations</b>										
<b>% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served</b>	1	0	0.5	0	0.5	1	1	1	0	1
<b>% of Veterans Served</b>	1	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5
<b>% Youth Under the Age of 25 Served (aka UAY)</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>% Parenting Youth Under the Age of 25 with Children Served</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>% Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.</b>	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	2.5
<b>Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.</b>	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	0	2.5
	10	2.5	3	5	3.5	3.5	3.5	6	0	6.5

**2017 HUD - CoC - Renewal Project Scoring Detail Summary**

	Maximum Pts	Project Return	THA-TRA Coll	VOA	ACTS-PHP	ACTS - SP	GP - HOME3	THA - TRA 2004	CC-Pathways	ACTS - HEART
--	-------------	----------------	--------------	-----	----------	-----------	------------	----------------	-------------	--------------

<b>D. HMIS Data Quality</b>										
Project's Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	1
Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Veteran Status	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Entry Date	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Relationship to Head of Household	2	2	0.5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Disabling Condition	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Destination	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Income at Entry	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	0.5	2	1
Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment	2	2	0	0	0.5	2	0	0	2	0.5
Project's Data Quality: Income at Exit	2	2	0	2	2	2	0.5	2	2	2
Project's Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness	2	2	2	0.5	2	2	2	2	2	1
Project's Data Quality: Timeliness	3	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>23</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>16.5</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15.5</b>

<b>E. Overall Grant Management</b>										
In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	3	5	5
Percent of CoC project funding expended by the agency's during their most recently ended grant year that was used for housing vs. supportive services.	5	0	5	0	1	0	3	5	5	1
Did the project draw down funds from eLOCCS at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Did the project have the match required per CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?										
Did the agency have any identified deficiencies or findings in their agency's most recently audited financial statement	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>

<b>F. CoC Participation</b>										
Applicant is an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care as defined in the Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC Governance Charter.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Applicant has at least 1 staff member regularly participating on at least 1 of the CoC Committees	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Applicant agency has a leadership role in the Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as chair or co-chair of a CoC Committee.	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>

<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>84.5</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>
--------------------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	-----------