THHI – 2019 UNIVERSAL RFP – THRESHOLD AND SCORING CRITERIA

Project Applicant:				Name of Project:		
Type of Project:	☐ PH PSH – Scattered Site ☐ Emergency Bridge Housing (CHSC) ☐ Services Only		☐ PH PSH – Project Based ☐ Joint Emergency Bridge (ES) / TH + RRH☐ Other:		☐ PH PSH – Set Aside ☐ PH – RRH RH —	
	☐ New Project	☐ Expanded Pro	ject	☐ Current (renew)	☐ Existing – (GAP Funding)	

THRESHOLD REVIEW (Completed by THHI Staff)					
Any NO answer in the Threshold Review Section to a FATAL FLAW Item = ineligible to apply per FATAL FLAWS listed in the RFP					
Scoring Factor	Description of Scoring Factor	Points Available	Yes/No		
A. Applicant attended the Mandatory Pre- Proposal Workshop on April 9, 2019 at 2PM	Ineligible to Apply - RFP clearly stated this workshop was mandatory	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
B. Proposal was submitted to THHI by the Friday, April 26, 2019 3PM deadline	Ineligible to Apply - RFP clearly stated deadline and that no proposal submitted after the deadline would be considered	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
C. Organization has been in operation for at least 2 years	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated this is an eligibility to apply criteria	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
D.Non-Profit Organization with 501(c) 3 status (submitted with IRS determination letter)	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly states this is an eligibility to apply criteria	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
E. Organization is in good standing in the State of Florida, Division of Corporations	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly states this is an eligibility to apply criteria	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
F. Organization is NOT listed on the "Excluded Parties List" (sam.gov)	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated this is an eligibility to apply criteria	Not Listed as Excluded = Continue to next factor Listed as Excluded = Ineligible to apply			
G.RFP Application Form is signed by the agency official designated to execute contracts	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated application must be signed by this person	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Ineligible to apply			
H.Proposal is typed; not completely/mostly handwritten	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated that proposals that are completely/mostly handwritten will have committed a fatal flaw	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			
Proposal submission included 1 original, 5 copies and 1 electronic copy on thumb drive	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated this failure to submit these items is a fatal flaw	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			

J. Proposal submitted follows the order listed on the Application Checklist (which matches the RFP instructions in section IV)	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated this is a fatal flaw if not followed	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			
K.Proposal includes all required documents are stated in section IV of the RFP	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated proposals that do not include all required documents will have committed a fatal flaw	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			
L. Proposal does not exceed page limits in any section in which a page limit was indicated	FATAL FLAW - RFP clearly stated that proposals that exceed the page limits will have committed a fatal flaw	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			
M. Proposal included a Completed and Signed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire	FATAL FLAW – RFP clearly stated failure to include a completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire will have committed a fatal flaw	Yes = Continue to next factor No = Fatal Flaw			
ELIGIBLE to APPLY (Circle by THHI staff and Signed by THHI Staff) YES NO					
Name of THHI Staff Member Completing Threshold Review:					
Signature of THHI Staff Member Completing Threshold Review:					
Date of Threshold Review					
END of THRESHOLD REVIEW PORTION					

PROJECT PROPOSAL SCORING

Please enter your score for each scoring criteria based on the project proposal. While most scoring factors are related to specific questions, reviewer may consider all elements of the proposal to determine score for each item. Please use only whole and half points.

Scoring Factor	Scoring Description/Notes	Points Available	Points Given
1. Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire Score	Housing First/Low Barrier access to needed services is a proven best practice. If form is altered/changed/has additional information added by the Applicant, the score = "0"	0-24 Points = Enter Score From Submitted Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire	
2. Project proposal describes how the project will help improve the performance of the community's overall system, fills a gap/need within our system and move the community forward in achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring in our CoC.	Using the Project Description and all application content, the project addresses the needs of one or more of the identified priorities, goals and/or overall system performance	Clearly describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals.= 10 pts. Somewhat describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 5 pts. Does not or vaguely describes how the proposed project helps move the community forward to making homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring by achieving HUD and CoC priorities and goals = 0 pts.	
3.Proposed Project is <u>innovative,</u> " <u>outside the box</u> "	Using the Project Description and all proposal content, the project is innovative, 'outside of the box," and uses demonstrative effective practices and/or 'next practices"	Clearly and in detail described an innovative project utilizing proven and effective practices = 5 pts. Touches on some innovative ideas and effective practices, but lacks detail = 2.5 pts. Not innovative and/or does not utilize proven effective practices = 0 pts.	

			1
		Clearly and in detail describes the overall scope of the project	
		including the clients to be served, which services will be	
		provided and how they will be provided, and process for	
4.Project Description – Overview:		quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 5 pts.	
Describes the overall scope of the		Describes, but lacks important details, the overall scope of	
project including the clients to be		the project including the clients to be served, which services	
served, which services will be provided		will be provided and how they will be provided, and process	
and how they will be provided, and process for quickly assisting clients into		for quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 3 pts.	
permanent housing		Vaguely or inadequately describes, the overall scope of the	
		project including the clients to be served, which services will	
		be provided and how they will be provided, and process for	
		quickly assisting clients into permanent housing = 0 pts.	
		Clearly defines the target demographics of the	
		individuals/households to be served with details that	
5. Project Description – Client		demonstrates an understanding of the needs of those they	
Demographics/Target Populations:		propose to serve = 3 pts	
Describes the project's proposed			
populations to be served, including	Target = serve at least 75 percent of the	Adequately defines the target demographic, but lacks some	
identifying targets, and information	household type / sub-populations they indicate	detail to demonstrate a full understanding of the needs of	
demonstrating an understanding of	are the project's "target	those they propose to serve = 1 pt.	
the needs of the clients they propose			
to serve.		Vaguely defines the target demographic, does not	
		demonstrate an understanding of the needs of those they	
		propose to serve = 0 pts	
	Outcomes based on client accomplishments; not		
	on the number of services/activities provided;		
	demonstrate a positive impact on overall system	Outcomes are concise, identified and measurable outcomes	
	performance.	that can positively impact overall system performance and	
		are not based on number of activities/services = 5 pts	
6. Project Description – Project Performance Outcomes: Outcomes are	 Percent of participants/households that will exit to a permanent housing situation (80%) 	Outcomes are adequate, but not concise, included some that	
the primary way for projects to	Average Length of time from project enrollment to	are based on number of activities/services, and adequately	
demonstrate effectiveness and impact	permanent housing placement (60 days or less)	shows positive impact on overall system performance = 3 pts	
in effectible ending homelessness.	Percent of adult participants that have increased		
	Earned Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of	Outcomes are all based on number of services/activities	
	grant term (10%)	provided, outcomes are vague, and/or do not demonstrate a	
	Percent of adult participants that have Increased	positive impact on overall system performance = 0 pts	
	Total Income from entry to exit, or entry to end of		
	grant term (25%)		

		Budget Information is detailed, clear and complete; aligns	
		with the project descriptions, presents a feasible project	
		= 5 pts.	ļ
	The Budget Summary and Detailed Narrative	Budget Information adequately provides necessary	ļ
	describes/explains the Project's funding request	information for eligible costs, adequately aligns with the	ļ
7. Budget	including costs in appropriate eligible categories,	project descriptions, adequately presents a feasible project	l
	quantity and description details are thorough, and	= 2.5 pts.	ļ
	project is feasible.	·	
		Budget information includes ineligible costs, vague details,	ļ
		missing key information to support project description and/or	ļ
		does not present a feasible project = 0 pts.	
		Detailed, clear and complete indicating the applicant	l
		understands match and will be able to provide required	l
		project match = 3 pts.	
	All funding sources require some percentage of		
8. Match Commitment	match, therefore applicant needs to have both an	Demonstrates a basic understanding of match, however the applicant's may have difficulty providing necessary match	
8. Watch Commitment	understanding of match and the ability to provide		
	required match	= 1.5 pts.	
		Vague, missing key information and/or does not demonstrate	
		an understanding of match and/or the ability to provide	
		required project match = 0 pts.	
		Extensive history in addressing the needs of and providing	
		services to low income households who are homeless,	
0. Ouraniantian/s Consoitu and	Extensive or high history/experience is defined as	formerly homeless or at risk of becoming homeless = 2 pts.	
9.Organization's Capacity and	8+ years		
Experience: History of addressing the needs of and providing services to low		Some history in addressing the needs of and providing	
income households who are homeless,	Some History/experience is defined as 4 to 7 years	services to low income households who are homeless,	
formerly homeless or at risk of		formerly homeless or at risk of becoming homeless = 1 pt.	
becoming homeless.	Minimum or No History/Experience is defined as		
	less than 3 years	Minimum history in addressing the needs of and providing	
		services to low income households who are homeless,	
40. Oursenissticate C	Francisco control bishow / 1 1 1 C 1	formerly homeless or at risk of becoming homeless = 0.5 pts.	
10. Organization's Capacity and	Extensive or high history/experience is defined as	Extensive experience with operating similar projects (, with	
Experience : Experience of operating at least similar projects, including	8+ years	documented data performance outcomes to substantiate high	
performance outcome data from	Some History/experience is defined as 4 to 7 years	performance positive outcomes = 2 pts.	
similar programs operated by the	Some mistory/experience is defined as 4 to 7 years	Some experience with operating similar projects with	
organization that shows the effects of	Minimum or No History/Experience is defined as	documented data performance outcomes, however outcomes	
the services provided	less than 3 years	are below average = 1 pt.	
the services provided	icos citario years	are below average - 1 pt.	

	T		1
		Minimum little experience in operating similar projects and/or does not have data documenting positive outcomes and/or data outcomes indicate poor performance = 0.5 pts.	
11. Organization's Capacity and Experience: Federal, state, and/or local government grant experience and	Extensive or high history/experience is defined as 8+ years	Extensive experience with government grants with high staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 2 pts.	
capacity of the organization and each person responsible for grant administration including program regulations and requirements, financial	Some History/experience is defined as 4 to 7 years Minimum or No History/Experience is defined as	Some experience with government grants with some staff experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 1 pt.	
processing and billing, and data accuracy and reporting.	less than 3 years	Minimum or no experience with government grants and staff has minimum/no experience in project administration and compliance requirements = 0 pts.	
		No deficiencies = 2 pts.	
12. Agency Compliance (THHI Finance Staff will review submitted	Using the provided Financial Audit including Supplementary Information and Other Reports	Technical/Corrected = 1.5 pts.	
Audit and provide data/info for this scoring criteria)	and The Management Letter	1 unresolved finding = 1 pt.	
		2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt.	
		Clearly indicates and details how the applicant will allocate costs between other projects within their organization that share costs = 2 pts.	
13. Cost Allocation Plan	Applicant will be asked to utilize cost allocation in relation to items such as building usage, admin expenses	Indicates how the applicant will allocate costs between other projects within their organization that share costs, but lack sufficient detail = 1 pts.	
		Does not clearly indicates how the applicant will allocate costs between other projects within their organization that share costs and/or indicates the use of an indirect rate = 0 pts	
	Utilizing the Budget Narrative, Organizational Capacity, Organization Budget, submitted IRS 990	Applicant has strong financial health = 2 pts.	
14. Financial Health and Capacity	and/or Audit material, to demonstrate adequate financial health, processes and/or cash flow to	Applicant has adequate financial health = 1 pts.	
	continue operations while reimbursement is processed	Applicant does not have adequate financial health = 0 pts.	

	_		
15. Overall proposal Presentation	The overall presentation of the proposal – formatting, content, flow of narratives, adherence to RFP instructions – demonstrates attention to detail and quality	Presented in a detailed, concise organized manner that was easy to understand and review = 1 pt. Lacked attention to detail and overall organization of information making it difficult for the reviewer(s) to locate information needed to complete scoring = 0 pts.	
16. Applicant is an "Active" Member of the Continuum of Care as defined in the Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC Governance Charter by attending at least 80% of CoC monthly meetings held in the past 12 months <u>AND</u> attending at least 80% of a CoC Committee meeting in the past 12 months (or THHI Board Member)	THHI will provide a List of "Active members" based on attendance records at the CoC monthly meetings and CoC Committees for the past 12 months (April 2018 – March 2019)	Yes = 2 pt. No = 0 pt.	
17.Applicant has at least 1 staff member <u>regularly</u> participating (at least <u>50% attendance</u>) on a CoC Committee	THHI will provide CoC Committee Attendance tracking for the past 12 months (April 2018 – March 2019)	Yes = 1 pt. No = 0 pt.	
18. Applicant agency has a leadership role in the CoC as evidence by at least 1 of the agency's paid staff serving as chair or co-chair of a CoC Committee; or on THHI's Board of Directors	THHI will provide a list of all CoC Committee Chairs and Co-Chairs with their corresponding agency for the past 12 months (April 2018 – March 2019)	Applicant Staff Member Chairs or Co-Chairs a Committee = 1 pt. Applicant Staff member does NOT Chair or Co-Chair a committee = 0 pts.	
19. Applicant's HMIS participation THHI staff will provide list of agency's actively entering data.	Applicant agency actively enters data into HMIS as defined as having entered data within the past 90 days (inclusive of any/all of applicants projects using HMIS) DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points	Applicant actively enters data in HMIS = 1 pts. Applicant is an HMIS partner agency but is not actively entering data = 0.5 pt. Applicant is a non-DV provider and is not a current HMIS partner = 0 pts.	
20. Applicant's Overall HMIS Data Completeness (Quality) THHI staff will provide Data Completeness Results to the scorers. The time frame for Reports will be 10/1/17 to 9/30/18	All HMIS projects are required to maintain satisfactory completeness (quality). If the applicant has current HMIS projects, all current projects will be used to determine the Agency's overall data completeness (quality). DV providers prohibited from entering into HMIS will receive maximum points	Number of Categories with greater than 10% missing data: $0 = 2 \text{ points}$ $1-2 = 1 \text{ point}$ $3+ = 0 \text{ points}$ Applicant is a non-DV provider and does not have a currently operating projects that are entering data into HMIS = 0 points	

Project Applicant:	Name of Project	ct:
Maximum Points Possible:	<u>80</u>	Total Points Awarded:
Reviewer's Overall Observations/Co		
Reviewer's Name:	Reviewer's Signature:	Date Reviewed: